

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

NXP USA, INC., and NXP B.V.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

IMPINJ, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-01503-JHC

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
ISSUANCE OF LETTER ROGATORY RE:
STARS MICROELECTRONICS
(THAILAND) PUBLIC COMPANY
LIMITED

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Issuance of Letters Rogatory (Dkt. # 131) and the Court having reviewed the motion and all associated papers,¹

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Issuance of Letters Rogatory is GRANTED. The Court shall issue a Letter Rogatory in the form submitted

¹ The Court DENIES Defendant Impinj, Inc.'s request to strike. Dkt # 145. The Court has considered all the arguments and information submitted by the parties in connection with the motion.

1 with Plaintiffs' motion. The Court also DENIES Defendant Impinj, Inc.'s request for an interim
2 stay (Dkt. # 134). The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of
3 record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.

4 Dated this 23rd day of June, 2022.

5 

6 John H. Chun
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

NXP USA, INC., and NXP B.V.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

IMPINJ, INC.,

Defendant.

NO. 2:20-cv-01503-JHC

LETTER ROGATORY

TO THE APPROPRIATE JUDICIAL AUTHORITY OF THAILAND:

The United States District Court for the Western District of Washington presents its compliments to the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Thailand, and requests judicial assistance to obtain evidence to be used in a civil proceeding before this Court in the above-captioned matter.

The Court requests the assistance described herein in the interests of justice.

I. REQUEST

The Court requests that the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Thailand compel production of documents from the following corporate entity:

Stars Microelectronics (Thailand) Public Company Limited
Bang Pa-In Industrial Estate (I-EA-T Free Zone)
605-606 Moo 2, Klongjig, Bang Pa-In
Ayutthaya 13160, Thailand

1 Specifically, the Court requests that Stars Microelectronics (Thailand) Public Company
2 Limited (“Stars”) produce the documents and things set out in **Exhibit A** to this Request.

3 The Court understands that the documents and information requested may be of a
4 confidential nature. As such, there is a Protective Order in this case to protect the confidentiality
5 of any documents produced. A copy of the Protective Order is appended to **Exhibit A**.

6 II. FACTS

7 Plaintiffs NXP USA, INC. and NXP B.V. (“NXP”) filed suit against Defendant Impinj,
8 Inc. (“Impinj”) in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking a judgment
9 that Impinj infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 6,680,523; 7,456,489; 7,538,444; 8,415,769; 7,795,951;
10 7,257,092; 6,819,092; and 7,374,097 (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”). On September 23,
11 2020, the case was transferred to the Western District of Washington. NXP seeks injunctive relief
12 and an award of damages from Impinj to compensate for the alleged patent infringement. The
13 technology described and claimed in the Asserted Patents relates to, among other things: certain
14 types of radio frequency identification (“RFID”) tag chips, certain ways those chips are designed
15 and fabricated onto semiconductor wafers, certain ways those wafers are designed and later cut
16 into single chips, and certain ways that RFID readers communicate with RFID tag chips. In
17 response to NXP’s infringement claims, Impinj has asserted an affirmative defense of patent
18 exhaustion based on a license agreement between NXP and another third party (Taiwan
19 Semiconductor Manufacturing Company). The documents requested in **Exhibit A** are crucial to
20 NXP’s ability to demonstrate Impinj’s infringement of the Asserted Patents and to properly and
21 fully respond to Impinj’s patent exhaustion defense.

22 III. OFFER OF RECIPROCAL ASSISTANCE

23 The United States District Court for the Western District of Washington is willing to
24 provide similar assistance to the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Thailand. *See* 28 U.S.C. §
25 1782.
26

IV. REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS

NXP's counsel is willing to reimburse the Appropriate Judicial Authority of Thailand for costs and expenses incurred in executing this Letter Rogatory.

DATED this 23rd day of June, 2022.

John H. Chun

John H. Chun
United States District Judge

Requesting Party:

HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP

By: s/Tyler L. Farmer

By: s/Bryn R. Pallesen

Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA #39912
Bryn R. Pallesen, WSBA #57714
999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: (206) 623-1700
Fax: (206) 623-8717
Email: tylerf@harriganleyh.com
Email: brynp@harriganleyh.com

Attorneys for NXP USA, Inc. and NXP B.V.

SCHEDULE A

1. For each different wafer bearing chips for each version of each Accused Product, all documents within Your possession, custody, or control sufficient to identify and describe in detail:
 - a. the wafer's design, layout, structure, and operation;
 - b. the marks, structures, or other elements on the wafer used for alignment during singulation (also known as dicing) of the die on the wafer, and documents relating to such identification or use, including but not limited to instructions or communications to or from Impinj or any other party (for example the entity singulating, manufacturing or otherwise processing the wafer or the party receiving the RFID chips resulting from the singulation of the wafer) relating to such identification or use;
 - c. the layout and design of control modules on the wafer, including process control modules and optical control modules;
 - d. the layout and design of marks, structures, or other elements for alignment of the reticles used in the fabrication, processing, or singulation of the wafer;
 - e. the layout and design of marks, structures (including circuit structures), or other elements for alignment of equipment used to singulate the wafer;
 - f. how equipment is to be aligned for singulation of the wafer;
 - g. how You determine what equipment and process to use for singulating the wafer;
 - h. marks, structures, or other elements on the wafer used for alignment of equipment for singulating the die on the wafer;
 - i. assembly services performed with regard to the wafer;
 - j. each third party involved in the design, fabrication, processing, singulation, distribution, or supply of the wafer and their roles in such activities;
 - k. the integrated circuits on each wafer;
 - l. verification or testing of the integrated circuits on each wafer;
 - m. verification, testing, or quality assurance of the post-processed wafer, including through the use of the Camtek eagle-I tool or any other equipment or processes that have been used for verification, testing, or quality assurance of the post-processed wafer, including the TEL probe test service, and who is or was involved in the verification, testing, or quality control process;

- n. design review, design process and specification, verification, and testing relating to the wafer;
 - o. each party from whom You receive the wafer before it is singulated;
 - p. each party to whom You send the RFID chips resulting from the singulation of the wafer;
 - q. the transfer and other movement of the wafer between the parties involved in its fabrication, processing, manufacturing, or singulation, or post-singulation processing or distribution of the RFID chips;
 - r. the raw wafer corresponding to the wafer; and
 - s. the differences between the wafer and the corresponding raw wafer.
2. For each different wafer bearing chips for each version of each Accused Product, all documents within Your possession, custody, or control relating to:
- a. the specifications and layout documents relating to the wafer;
 - b. communications between You and Impinj relating to wafer design, wafer layout, fabrication, processing, alignment or singulation of the wafer;
 - c. communications between You and TSMC or other entities that singulate, manufacture, or otherwise process the wafers pre-singulation, or process or distribute the RFID chips post-singulation, or any other entity (including Impinj) relating to wafer design and marks, structures, or other elements on the wafer used for singulation, including but not limited to instructions regarding the specific marks, structures, or other elements on the wafer used for alignment to use in singulating the wafer and how to use those marks, structures, or other elements;
 - d. communications between You and Impinj, or You and TSMC, concerning this Litigation, or the agreement entered into between Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited (“TSMC”) and Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. (“KPENV”), effective January 1, 2004, or the license agreement entered into among TSMC, Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. (“Royal Philips”), and Philips Semiconductors International B.V. (“PSI”), effective September 25, 2006;
 - e. contracts, purchase orders, invoices, shipping, or other agreements between You and Impinj or any other third party reflecting Your sale, purchase or receipt of the wafer or sales of services to singulate the wafer, and all communications relating thereto;

- f. price quotations or requests for proposal between You and Impinj or any other third party concerning the sale, purchase, or receipt of the wafer or sales of services to singulate the wafer, and all communications relating thereto; and
- g. other contracts, agreements, or communications between You and Impinj or any other party relating to the wafer or the die thereon, including but not limited to their fabrication or singulation.

Instructions

1. For purposes of this subpoena, You should designate documents and communications for protection in accordance with the Protective Order entered in this Litigation on January 4, 2021 and attached hereto.

Definitions

For purposes of this subpoena, the following definitions apply:

- 1. “You” and “Your” shall mean and refer to Stars Microelectronics (Thailand) Public Company Limited, its predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, parents (including but not limited to Stars Microelectronics USA, Inc.), and each of the affiliates, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, and any other person acting on its behalf.
- 2. “Impinj” shall mean and refer to Impinj, Inc., its predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, parents, and each of the affiliates, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, and any other person acting on its behalf.
- 3. “Litigation” shall mean and refer to the lawsuit currently pending in U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington titled *NXP USA, Inc. & NXP B.V. v. Impinj, Inc.*, No. 2:20-cv-01503-RSM-SKV.
- 4. “Accused Products” shall refer individually and collectively to Impinj’s RFID tag chips, including Monza® 4 series RFID tag chips (a series that includes at least 4D, 4E, 4i, and 4QT tag chips), Monza® 5 series RFID tag chips, Monza® 6 series RFID tag chips (a series that includes at least R6, R6-P, R6-A, R6-B, and S6-C tag chips), Monza® X series RFID tag chips (a series that includes at least X-8K and X-2K tag chips), M700 series RFID tag chips (a series that includes at least M730 and M750 tag chips), and semiconductor wafers bearing any such chips; Impinj’s RFID tag reader chips, including the Indy® family of reader chips (a family that includes at least RS500, RS1000, and RS2000 reader chips); Impinj’s RFID readers, including the Speedway® family of RFID readers (a family that includes at least R120, R220, and R420 readers), and R700 RFID readers; and any other Impinj product that supports a FastID™ inventory mode.
- 5. “Document” means all written, graphic, or printed matter of any kind, however produced or reproduced, including all originals, drafts, working papers, and all nonidentical

copies, whether different from the originals by reason of any notation made on such copies or otherwise, and electronic, mechanical, or electrical records or representations of any kind, translated through detection devices into reasonably usable form, and includes any document otherwise responsive to this request that has been lost, destroyed, or has otherwise disappeared.

The term “document” includes but is not limited to: papers, books, book entries, accounts, letters, photographs, objects, tangible things, correspondence, e-mails, telegrams, cables, telex or telefax messages, memoranda, notes, data, notations, work papers, interoffice communications, interdepartmental communications, minutes, reports, records of any communications (including telephone or other conversations, interviews, conferences or committee or other meetings), affidavits, statements, summaries, opinions, reports, studies, analyses, formulae, plans, specifications, surveys, contracts, licenses, agreements, offers, acceptances, journals, books or records of accounts, summaries of accounts, bills, receipts, balance sheets, income statements, advertisements, desk calendars, appointment books, diaries, lists, tabulations, charts, graphs, maps, surveys, sound recordings, computer records or impressions, microfilm, all other records kept by electronic, photographic, or mechanical means, and things similar to any of the foregoing, however denominated.

6. “Relating to” shall mean any and all information or documents that constitute, deal with, relate to, refer to, pertain to, discuss, comment on, or comprise, whether in whole or in part, a given subject, including without limitation documents concerning the preparation of other documents.

7. The terms “and” and “or” shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively, whichever makes the request more inclusive.

APPENDIX A

HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

NXP USA, INC., and NXP B.V.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

IMPINJ, INC.,

Defendant.

NO. 2:20-cv-01503-RSM-MAT

**[PROPOSED] STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING
PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR
TRADE SECRETS**

**NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR:
DECEMBER 22, 2020**

1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this action may be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 14.3, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information

**[PROPOSED] STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING
PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS - 1**
(Case No. 2:20-cv-01503-RSM-MAT)

LAW OFFICES
HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL (206) 623-1700 FAX (206) 623-8717

under seal; Local Civil Rule 5(g) sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1 Challenging Party: a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of information or items under this Order.

2.2 “CONFIDENTIAL” Information or Items: information (regardless of how it is generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).

2.3 California Litigation: *Impinj, Inc. v. NXP USA, Inc.*, Case No. 4:19-cv-03161-YGR (N.D. Cal.).

2.4 Counsel (without qualifier): Outside Counsel of Record and House Counsel (as well as their support staff).

2.5 Designating Party: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE.”

2.6 Disclosure or Discovery Material: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.

2.7 Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who (1) has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action, (2) is not a past or current employee of a Party or of a Party’s competitor, and (3) at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party or of a Party’s competitor.

1 2.8 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” Information or
 2 Items: extremely sensitive “Confidential Information or Items,” disclosure of which to another
 3 Party or Non-Party would create a substantial risk of serious harm that could not be avoided by less
 4 restrictive means. “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” Information
 5 includes, for example, non-public financial information,¹ commercially sensitive and non-public
 6 sales or marketing related information, and commercially sensitive technical information.

7 2.9 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” Information or Items: extremely
 8 sensitive “Confidential Information or Items” representing Source Code, and associated comments
 9 and revision histories, flow charts, artwork, formulas, engineering specifications, or schematics
 10 that define or otherwise describe in detail the algorithms or structure of software or hardware
 11 designs, disclosure of which to another Party or Non-Party would create a substantial risk of
 12 serious harm that could not be avoided by less restrictive means.

13 2.10 House Counsel: attorneys who are employees of a Party. House Counsel does not
 14 include Outside Counsel of Record or any other outside counsel.

15 2.11 Non-Party: any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal
 16 entity not named as a Party to this action.

17 2.12 Outside Counsel of Record: attorneys who are not employees of a Party but are
 18 retained to represent or advise a Party and have appeared in this action on behalf of that Party or
 19 are employees of a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that Party.

20 2.13 Party: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees,
 21 consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).

22 2.14 Producing Party: a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery
 23 Material in this action.

24
 25
 26 ¹ The Parties anticipate that some sales information may be shared with in-house counsel in the context of settlement discussions, should such discussions arise.

1 2.15 Professional Vendors: persons or entities that provide litigation support services
 2 (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing,
 3 storing, retrieving data in any form or medium, or jury consultants) and their employees and
 4 subcontractors.

5 2.16 Protected Material: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as
 6 “CONFIDENTIAL,” “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY,” or
 7 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE.”

8 2.17 Receiving Party: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a
 9 Producing Party.

10 2.18 Source Code: Source Code includes human-readable programming language text
 11 that defines software, firmware, and integrated circuits. Source Code also includes “.include
 12 files,” “make” files, “link” files, and other human-readable text files used in the generation and/or
 13 building of software directly executed on a microprocessor, micro-controller, or DSP. Source
 14 Code further includes, but is not limited to, GDSII files, Netlists, files containing Source Code in
 15 VHDL, Verilog, and other Hardware Description Language (“HDL”) formats, including but not
 16 limited to, Register Transfer Level (“RTL”) descriptions. For clarity, this designation will not
 17 extend to documents that contain small portions of such code.

18 3. SCOPE

19 The protections conferred by this Stipulated Protective Order cover not only Protected
 20 Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected
 21 Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any
 22 testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel that might reveal Protected
 23 Material. However, the protections conferred by this Stipulated Protective Order do not cover the
 24 following information: (a) any information that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure to
 25 a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a Receiving Party as
 26 a result of publication not involving a violation of this Order, including becoming part of the

public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any information known to the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the information lawfully and is under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party. Any use of Protected Material at trial shall be governed by a separate agreement or order.

4. DURATION

Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until and unless a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. To the extent it is practical to do so, the Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify – so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all or do not qualify for the level of protection initially

1 asserted, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the
2 mistaken designation.

3 5.2 Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this Order
4 (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered,
5 Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly
6 so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

7 Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

8 (a) for information in documentary form (e.g., paper or electronic documents, but
9 excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party
10 affix the legend “CONFIDENTIAL,” “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES
11 ONLY,” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” to each page that contains protected
12 material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the
13 Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate
14 markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted.
15 If only a portion or portions of the material in a document contain Protected Material, the
16 Producing Party must also affix on the cover page of any such document a conspicuous notice that
17 the document contains Protected Material and an identification of each of the levels of protection
18 being asserted in the document.

19 A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection
20 need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material
21 it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the
22 material made available for inspection shall be deemed “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
23 ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY.” After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants
24 copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof,
25 qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the
26 Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend (“CONFIDENTIAL,” “HIGHLY

CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY,” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE) to each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted.

(b) for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, that the Designating Party identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, all protected testimony and specify the level of protection being asserted. When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is entitled to protection and it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Designating Party may invoke on the record (before the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding is concluded), or in writing served on all Parties within 21 days after receiving a final transcript of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, a right to have up to 21 days after receiving the final transcript to identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of protection being asserted. Only those portions of the testimony that are appropriately designated for protection within the 21 days shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order. If no indication on the record is made, all testimony and information disclosed during a deposition shall be deemed “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” in its entirety unless otherwise agreed, until the time within which it may be appropriately designated as provided for herein has passed. Any Party that wishes to disclose the transcript that has been deemed “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” as a result of no designation having been made on the record at the time the testimony was given, or information contained therein, may provide written notice of its intent to treat the transcript as non-confidential, after which time, any Party that wants to maintain any portion of the transcript as confidential must designate the confidential portions within 21 days after receiving the final transcript, or else the transcript may be treated as non-confidential. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or

exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order.

Parties shall give the other parties at least 2 business days' written notice if they reasonably expect a deposition, hearing or other proceeding to include Protected Material so that the other parties can ensure that only authorized individuals who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A) are present at those proceedings. The use of a document as an exhibit at a deposition shall not in any way affect its designation as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

Transcripts containing Protected Material shall have an obvious legend on the title page that the transcript contains Protected Material, and the title page shall be followed by a list of all pages (including line numbers as appropriate) that have been designated as Protected Material and the level of protection being asserted by the Designating Party. The Designating Party shall inform the court reporter of these requirements. Any transcript that is prepared before the expiration of a 21-day period for designation shall be treated during that period as if it had been designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in its entirety unless otherwise agreed. After the expiration of that period, the transcript shall be treated only as actually designated.

(c) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE." If only a portion or portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s) and specify the level of protection being asserted.

5.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate. If corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

6.1 Timing of Challenges. Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.

6.2 Meet and Confer. The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper for each challenged designation. To avoid ambiguity as to whether a challenge has been made, the written notice must recite that the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this specific paragraph of the Protective Order. The parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) within 14 days of the date of service of notice. In conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer process in a timely manner.

6.3 Judicial Intervention. If the parties cannot resolve a challenge without court intervention, the designating party may file and serve a motion to retain confidentiality under Local Civil Rule 7 (and in compliance with Local Civil Rule 5(g), if applicable). The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. All parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Designating Party's designation until the Court rules on the challenge.

7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

7.1 Basic Principles. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation and for no other purpose, except that the Parties agree that any such Protected Material may be used in the California Litigation provided that the same level of confidentiality is afforded to their use in that case. The Parties also agree that any materials disclosed or produced in the California Litigation may be used in this case, and any confidentiality designations afforded such materials will be treated as if designated pursuant to the terms of this Order. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 15 below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

7.2 Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only to:

1 (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as employees of
 2 said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for
 3 this litigation;

4 (b) up to four (4) officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the
 5 Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation who have signed the
 6 "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A) and who have been designated as,
 7 and disclosed to the Producing Party as, one of a maximum of four (4) officers, directors, and
 8 employees (including House Counsel) that may receive CONFIDENTIAL information or Items.²

9 (c) Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is
 10 reasonably necessary for this litigation or in the California Litigation and who have signed the
 11 "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), appended hereto, or the
 12 "Acknowledgement and Agreement to Be Bound" appended as Exhibit A to the Stipulated
 13 Protective Order entered in the California Litigation (Dkt. 62), and provided that no unresolved
 14 objections to such disclosure exist after proper notice has been given to all Parties as set forth in
 15 Section 7.4. Experts disclosed pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order entered in the California
 16 Litigation (Dkt. 62), to whom the Producing Party did not object, shall also sign the
 17 "Acknowledgement and Agreement to be Bound" (Exhibit A), appended hereto, for the purpose of
 18 appointing a Washington agent for service of process in connection with this action or any
 19 proceedings related to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order;

20 (d) the court and its personnel;

21 (e) court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional
 22 Vendors, as well as employees and/or subcontractors thereto, to whom disclosure is reasonably
 23 necessary for this litigation;

24
 25
 26 ² Disclosure of officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) that may receive CONFIDENTIAL information includes: (a) setting forth the full name of the person and the city and state of his or her primary residence, and (b) identifying the person's current employer(s), titles, and job responsibilities.

(f) during their depositions, witnesses who are officers, directors, employees, or 30(b)(6) designees of the Designating Party and to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary; witnesses who can be shown to have seen or had access to the document or information and to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary; for 30(b)(6) designees “reasonably necessary” shall be limited to documents that are related to the topics they were designated to testify regarding; or witnesses who can be shown to currently have authority to access such document or information and to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order.

(g) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information; and

(h) any other person with the prior written consent of the Designating Party.

7.3 Disclosure of “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” and “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” only to:

(a) the Receiving Party’s Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation;

(b) Experts of the Receiving Party (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, (2) who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” (Exhibit A), , appended hereto, or the “Acknowledgement and Agreement to Be Bound” appended as Exhibit A to the Stipulated Protective Order entered in the California Litigation (Dkt. 62), (3) as to whom the procedures set forth in paragraph 7.4, below, have been followed, and (4) provided that no unresolved objections to such disclosure exist after proper notice has been given to all Parties as

1 set forth in Section 7.4. Experts disclosed pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order entered in
 2 the California Litigation (Dkt. 62), to whom the Producing Party did not object, shall also sign the
 3 “Acknowledgement and Agreement to be Bound’ (Exhibit A), appended hereto, for the purpose of
 4 appointing a Washington agent for service of process in connection with this action or any
 5 proceedings related to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order;

6 (c) the court and its personnel;

7 (d) court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional
 8 Vendors, as well as employees and/or subcontractors thereto, to whom disclosure is reasonably
 9 necessary for this litigation; and

10 (e) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other
 11 person who otherwise possessed or knew the information or who currently has authority to access
 12 such document or information;

13 (f) during their depositions, witnesses who are officers, directors, employees, or 30(b)(6)
 14 designees of the Designating Party and to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary; witnesses who
 15 can be shown to have seen or had access to the document or information and to whom disclosure is
 16 reasonably necessary; for 30(b)(6) designees “reasonably necessary” shall be limited to documents
 17 that are related to the topics they were designated to testify regarding; or witnesses who can be
 18 shown to currently have authority to access such document or information and to whom disclosure
 19 is reasonably necessary. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that
 20 reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed
 21 to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order.

22 7.4 Procedures for Approving or Objecting to Disclosure of “HIGHLY
 23 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE
 24 CODE” Information or Items to Experts.

25 (a) Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed to in writing by the Designating Party,
 26 a Party that seeks to disclose to an Expert (as defined in this Order) any information or item that

has been designated “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” pursuant to paragraph 7.3(b) first must make a written request to the Designating Party that (1) identifies the general categories of “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” information that the Receiving Party seeks permission to disclose to the Expert, (2) sets forth the full name of the Expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, (3) attaches a copy of the Expert’s current resume, (4) identifies the Expert’s current employer(s), (5) identifies each person or entity from whom the Expert has received compensation or funding for work in his or her areas of expertise or to whom the expert has provided professional services, including in connection with a litigation, at any time during the preceding five years,³ and (6) identifies (by name and number of the case, filing date, and location of court) any litigation in connection with which the Expert has offered expert testimony, including through a declaration, report, or testimony at a deposition or trial, during the preceding five years. Further, the Party seeking to disclose Protected Material shall provide such other information regarding the Expert’s professional activities reasonably requested by the Producing Party for it to evaluate whether good cause exists to object to the disclosure of Protected Material to the Expert.

(b) A Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the preceding respective paragraphs may disclose the subject Protected Material to the identified Expert unless, within 7 days of delivering the initial request, the Party receives a written objection from the Designating Party. Any such objection must set forth in detail the grounds on which it is based.

(c) A Party that receives a timely written objection must meet and confer with the Designating Party (through direct voice to voice dialogue) to try to resolve the matter by agreement within seven days of the written objection. If no agreement is reached, the Party seeking

³ If the Expert believes any of this information is subject to a confidentiality obligation to a third-party, then the Expert should provide whatever information the Expert believes can be disclosed without violating any confidentiality agreements, and the Party seeking to disclose to the Expert shall be available to meet and confer with the Designating Party regarding any such engagement.

1 to make the disclosure to the Expert may file a motion under Local Civil Rule 7 (and in
 2 compliance with Local Civil Rule 5(g), if applicable). Any such motion must describe the
 3 circumstances with specificity, set forth in detail the reasons why the disclosure to the Expert is
 4 reasonably necessary, assess the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail, and suggest any
 5 additional means that could be used to reduce that risk. In addition, any such motion must be
 6 accompanied by a competent declaration describing the parties' efforts to resolve the matter by
 7 agreement (i.e., the extent and the content of the meet and confer discussions) and setting forth the
 8 reasons advanced by the Designating Party for its refusal to approve the disclosure.

9 In any such proceeding, the Party opposing disclosure to the Expert shall bear the burden
 10 of proving that the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail (under the safeguards proposed)
 11 outweighs the Receiving Party's need to disclose the Protected Material to its Expert.

12 8. PROSECUTION BAR

13 Absent written consent from the Producing Party, any individual who accesses or reviews,
 14 whether directly or indirectly, another Party's "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
 15 EYES ONLY" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE" information of a technical
 16 nature shall not be involved in the prosecution of patents or patent applications relating to RFID
 17 (radio frequency identification) systems, including RFID ICs (integrated circuits), tags, modules,
 18 readers, writers, gateways, and software, and including without limitation the patents asserted at
 19 any time in this action and any patent or application claiming priority to or otherwise related to the
 20 patents asserted at any time in this action, before any foreign or domestic agency, including the
 21 United States Patent and Trademark Office ("the Patent Office"). For purposes of this paragraph,
 22 "prosecution" includes directly or indirectly in any of the following activities: (a) drafting,
 23 amending, or advising on patent applications, specifications, claims or responses to office actions,
 24 or otherwise affecting the scope or maintenance of claims in patents or patent applications; and (b)
 25 providing advice to a Party regarding what patents or patent applications to acquire.⁴ To avoid any

26 ⁴ Prosecution includes, for example, original prosecution, reissue and reexamination proceedings.

doubt, “prosecution” as used in this paragraph does not include representing a party challenging or defending a challenge to the validity of a patent before a domestic or foreign agency (including, but not limited to, a reissue protest, *ex parte* reexamination, *inter partes* reexamination *inter partes* review, post grant review, or covered business method review before the United States Patent and Trademark Office), and does not include providing legal advice regarding the validity, ownership, and assignment of patents and patent applications. To avoid any doubt, nothing in these provisions is intended to preclude counsel from participating in actions relating to settlement of litigations between the Parties. This Prosecution Bar shall begin when access to “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” information or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” information is first received by the affected individual and shall end two (2) years after final disposition of this action. The bar shall only apply to individuals who receive or review the designated information set forth above and shall not be imputed to that individual’s firm.

9. SOURCE CODE

(a) To the extent production of HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE Information or Items becomes necessary in this case, a Producing Party may designate such Information or Items as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SOURCE CODE” if it comprises or includes confidential, proprietary or trade secret Source Code or other Information or Items defined in section 2.9 above.

(b) Protected Material designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE” shall be subject to all of the protections afforded to “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” information, including the Prosecution Bar set forth in Paragraph 8, and may be disclosed only to the individuals to whom “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” information may be disclosed, as set forth in Paragraphs 7.3 and 7.4.

(c) Any Source Code produced in discovery shall be made available for inspection, in a format allowing it to be reasonably reviewed and searched, during normal business hours or at other mutually agreeable times, at an office of the Producing Party’s counsel or another mutually

1 agreed upon location. The Source Code shall be made available for inspection on a secured
 2 computer ("Source Code Computer") in a secured room without Internet access or network access
 3 to other computers ("Source Code Review Room"), and the Receiving Party shall not copy,
 4 remove, or otherwise transfer any portion of the Source Code onto any recordable media or
 5 recordable device. The Producing Party may visually monitor the activities of the Receiving
 6 Party's representatives during any source code review, but only to ensure that there is no
 7 unauthorized recording, copying, or transmission of the Source Code.

8 (d) The Producing Party shall install tools that are sufficient for viewing and searching
 9 the Source Code produced, if such tools exist and are presently used in the ordinary course of the
 10 Producing Party's business. The Receiving Party's Outside Counsel and/or experts may request
 11 that commercially available software tools for viewing and searching Source Code be installed on
 12 the secured computer, provided, however, that (a) the Receiving Party possesses an appropriate
 13 license to such software tools; (b) the Producing Party approves such software tools, which
 14 approval shall not be unreasonably withheld; and (c) such other software tools are reasonably
 15 necessary for the Receiving Party to perform its review of the Source Code consistent with all of
 16 the protections herein. The Receiving Party must provide the Producing Party with a CD or DVD
 17 (or via other appropriate means, e.g., flash/thumb drive or file transfer) containing such licensed
 18 software tool(s) at least 14 days in advance of the date upon which the Receiving Party wishes to
 19 have the additional software tools available for use on the Source Code Computer.

20 (e) No recordable media or recordable devices, including without limitation sound
 21 recorders, computers, tablets, cellular telephones, peripheral equipment, cameras, CDs, DVDs, or
 22 drives of any kind, shall be permitted into the Source Code Review Room.

23 (f) The Receiving Party's Outside Counsel and/or experts shall be entitled to take notes
 24 relating to the Source Code but may not copy Source Code into the notes and may not take such
 25 notes electronically on the Source Code Computer itself or any other computer, though they may
 26 make reference to words, phrases, and passages that appear in such Source Code. Each page of

1 any such notes containing Source Code information (and any additional notes, analyses, or
 2 descriptions relating thereto) must be marked as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’
 3 EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE.”

4 (g) Access to and review of the Source Code shall be strictly for the purposes of
 5 investigating the claims and defenses at issue in this action. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties
 6 in writing, no person shall review or analyze any Source Code for purposes unrelated to this action,
 7 and no person may use any knowledge gained as a result of reviewing the Source Code in this
 8 action in any other pending or future dispute, proceeding or litigation.

9 (h) No copies of all or any portion of the Source Code may leave the Source Code
 10 Review Room except as otherwise provided herein. Further, no other written or electronic record
 11 of the Source Code is permitted except as otherwise provided herein.

12 (i) The Receiving Party may request paper copies of limited portions of source code, up
 13 to 500 pages, and no more than 25 consecutive pages, that are reasonably necessary for the
 14 preparation of court filings, pleadings, expert reports, or other papers, or for deposition or trial, but
 15 shall not request paper copies for the purposes of reviewing the source code other than
 16 electronically as set forth in paragraph (c) in the first instance. The Producing Party shall provide all
 17 such source code in paper form including bates numbers and the label “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
 18 – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – SOURCE CODE.” The Producing Party may challenge the
 19 amount of Source Code requested in hard copy form pursuant to the dispute resolution procedure
 20 and timeframes set forth in Paragraph 6 whereby the Producing Party is the “Challenging Party”
 21 and the Receiving Party is the “Designating Party” for purposes of dispute resolution.

22 (f) The Receiving Party shall maintain a record of any individual who has inspected any
 23 portion of the Source Code in electronic or paper form. The Receiving Party shall maintain all
 24 paper copies of any printed portions of the Source Code in a secured, locked area. The Receiving
 25 Party shall not create any electronic or other images of the paper copies and shall not convert any
 26

of the information contained in the paper copies into any electronic format. The Receiving Party shall only make additional paper copies if such additional copies are (1) necessary to prepare court filings, pleadings, or other papers (including a testifying expert's expert report), (2) necessary for deposition, or (3) otherwise necessary for the preparation of its case. Any paper copies used during a deposition shall be retrieved by the Producing Party at the end of each day and must not be given to or left with a court reporter or any other unauthorized individual.

10. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a Party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE" that Party must:

(a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;

(b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order; and

(c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.⁵

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE" before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued,

⁵ The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court from which the subpoena or order issued.

1 unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party shall bear
 2 the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material – and
 3 nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in
 4 this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

5 11. A NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN THIS
 6 LITIGATION

7 (a) The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in
 8 this action and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'
 9 EYES ONLY," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE." Such information produced
 10 by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided
 11 by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from
 12 seeking additional protections.

13 (b) In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non-
 14 Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an agreement with the
 15 Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party shall:

16 1. promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or all
 17 of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;

18 2. promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated Protective Order in
 19 this litigation, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific description of the
 20 information requested; and

21 3. make the information requested available for inspection by the Non-Party.

22 (c) If the Non-Party fails to object or seek a protective order from this court within 14
 23 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Receiving Party may produce the
 24 Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-Party timely
 25 seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party shall not produce any information in its possession or
 26 control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination

by the court.⁶ Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material.

12. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

13. INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), a Party’s inadvertent or intentional disclosure or production of any documents or information in this proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other proceeding in any other court, constitute a waiver by that Party of any privilege or protection applicable to those documents, including the attorney-client privilege, work product protection, and any other privilege or protection recognized by law.

The provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 502(b) are inapplicable to the production of documents or information under this Order. Specifically, there has been no waiver if a party discloses privileged or protected information inadvertently or otherwise, regardless of whether the party took reasonable steps to prevent the disclosure or to rectify the error.

Any party receiving any such documents or information shall return them to the producing party, upon request, within 5 business days of receiving such request, delete any versions of the documents it maintains, and make no use of the information contained therein regardless of

⁶ The purpose of this provision is to alert the interested parties to the existence of confidentiality rights of a Non-Party and to afford the Non-Party an opportunity to protect its confidentiality interests in this court.

whether the receiving party agrees with the claim of privilege and/or work product protection. Nothing in this Order shall prevent a receiving party from challenging the privilege or protection asserted by the producing party by following the procedure outlined in Section 6. The time periods herein can be extended if the parties agree in writing.

Disclosure of information or documents by the receiving party before the producing party designates the information as protected shall not be deemed a violation of this Order.

14. MISCELLANEOUS

14.1 Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the court in the future.

14.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

14.3 Filing Confidential Material. Before filing confidential material or discussing or referencing such material in court filings, the filing party shall confer with the designating party, in accordance with Local Civil Rule 5(g)(3)(A), to determine whether the designating party will remove the confidential designation, whether the document can be redacted, or whether a motion to seal or stipulation and proposed order is warranted. During the meet and confer process, the designating party must identify the basis for sealing the specific confidential information at issue, and the filing party shall include this basis in its motion to seal, along with any objection to sealing the information at issue. Local Civil Rule 5(g) sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal. A party who seeks to maintain the confidentiality of its information must satisfy the requirements of Local Civil Rule 5(g)(3)(B), even if it is not the party filing the motion to seal.

1 Failure to satisfy this requirement will result in the motion to seal being denied, in accordance with
 2 the strong presumption of public access to the Court's files.

3 15. FINAL DISPOSITION

4 Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in Section 4, each
 5 Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material.
 6 As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations,
 7 summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Whether
 8 the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written
 9 certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party)
 10 by the 60-day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected
 11 Material that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained
 12 any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or capturing any of
 13 the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival
 14 copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda,
 15 correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant
 16 and expert work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such archival
 17 copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set
 18 forth in Section 4 (DURATION).

19 //

20 //

21 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

DATED this 22nd day of December, 2020.

PERKINS COIE LLP

HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP

By: s/Ramsey M. Al-Salam

By: s/Tyler L. Farmer

By: s/Christina J. McCullough

Tyler L. Farmer, WSBA #39912

By: s/Stevan R. Stark

999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400

By: s/R. Tyler Kendrick

Seattle, WA 98104

Ramsey M. Al-Salam, WSBA #18822

Tel: (206) 623-1700

Christina J. McCullough, WSBA
#47147

Fax: (206) 623-8717

Email: tylerf@harriganleyh.com

Stevan R. Stark, WSBA #39639

R. Tyler Kendrick, WSBA #55094

JONES DAY

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099

David L. Witcoff (*admitted pro hac vice*)

Thomas W. Ritchie (*admitted pro hac vice*)

Tel: 206.359.6385

77 West Wacker Drive

Fax: 206.359.9000

Chicago, IL 60601-1692

Email: RAlSalam@perkinscoie.com

Tel: (312) 269-4259

Email: CMcCullough@perkinscoie.com

Email: dlwitcoff@jonesday.com

Email: SStark@perkinscoie.com

Email: twritchie@jonesday.com

Email: RKendrick@perkinscoie.com

By: s/Olivia T. Nguyen

T. Kailin Crowder (*admitted pro hac vice*)

Olivia T. Nguyen, WSBA #48178

901 Lakeside Ave

633 West 5th Street

Cleveland, OH 44114

Suite 5850

Tel: (216) 586-7347

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Email: kcrowder@jonesday.com

Tel: 310.788.9900

Michael C. Hendershot (*admitted pro hac
vice*)

Fax: 310.788.3399

1755 Embarcadero Road

Email: ONguyen@perkinscoie.com

Palo Alto, CA 94303

Tel: (650) 739-3940

Email: mhendershot@jonesday.com

Attorneys for Impinj, Inc.

Yury Kalish (*admitted pro hac vice*)

51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.

Washington D.C. 20001

Tel: (202) 879-3616

Email: ykalish@jonesday.com

Attorneys for NXP USA, Inc. and NXP B.V.

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING
PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS - 24
(Case No. 2:20-cv-01503-RSM-MAT)

LAW OFFICES
HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL (206) 623-1700 FAX (206) 623-8717

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

2
3 DATED: January 4, 2021

4
5 

6 Mary Alice Theiler
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

[~~PROPOSED~~] STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING
PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS - 25
(Case No. 2:20-cv-01503-RSM-MAT)

LAW OFFICES
HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL (206) 623-1700 FAX (206) 623-8717

EXHIBIT A

ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

I, _____ [print or type full name], of
_____ [print or type full address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read
in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States
District Court for the Western District of Washington on [date] in the case of ***NXP USA, Inc. v. Impinj Inc., Case No. 2:20-cv-01503-RSM-MAT***. I agree to comply with and to be bound by all
the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so
comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly
promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to this
Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions
of this Order.

I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for
the Western District of Washington for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated
Protective Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.

I hereby appoint _____ [print or type full name] of
_____ [print or type full address and telephone number]
as my Washington agent for service of process in connection with this action or any proceedings
related to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order.

Date: _____

City and State where sworn and signed: _____

Printed name: _____
[printed name]

Signature: _____
[signature]

~~[PROPOSED]~~ STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING
PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS - 26
(Case No. 2:20-cv-01503-RSM-MAT)

LAW OFFICES
HARRIGAN LEYH FARMER & THOMSEN LLP
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 4400
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
TEL (206) 623-1700 FAX (206) 623-8717